

UNDERSTANDING FACULTY ATTITUDES IN SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATION

ASERL Webinar on Best Practices in Scholarly
Communication Outreach

Nathan Hall
VTechWorks Repository Librarian
Center for Digital Research and Scholarship
Virginia Tech University Libraries
nfhall@vt.edu

Problem



Goal

1. To better understand faculty research habits and preferences.
2. Enable academic libraries to improve institutional repository services and policies.

Data Collection



Findings

- Most participants were unfamiliar with IRs
- Everyone wants increased access/impact
- Many authors want more rights from their publishers
- Factors that inhibited change in scholarly communication practice included:
 - Time vs. Benefit
 - Promotion and Tenure
 - Publisher policies

Intervention

Do the work for them!

Workflow for library mediated deposit

- Collect vita and format citations into metadata for upload
- Collect citations from WOS or SCOPUS and format for upload
- Check publisher policies at Sherpa Romeo
- Obtain proper version of article
----- (Bennett, 2008; Hanlon & Ramirez, 2011; Madsen & Oleen, 2013)

Other Programs

- Open Access subvention fund with conditions requiring repository submission
- Open Access Week events tied to the repository
- Repository workshops
- Library OA Policy

Thank You

Nathan Hall
VTechWorks Repository Librarian
Center for Digital Research and Scholarship
Virginia Tech University Libraries
nfhall@vt.edu

References

- Bennett, M. J., (2008) Institutional repository workflow from initial inquiry to repository upload. *DigitalCommons@UConn Documents*. Paper 15.
Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.uconn.edu/dcuconn/15>
- Foster, N. F., & Gibbons, S. (2005). Understanding faculty to improve content recruitment for institutional repositories. *D-Lib Magazine* 11(1). Retrieved from <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/january05/foster/01foster.html>
- Hanlon, A. & Ramirez, M.(2011). Asking for permission: A survey of copyright workflows for institutional repositories. *portal: Libraries and the Academy* 11(2), 683-702.
- Harley, D., Earl-Novell, S., Arter, J., Lawrence, S., & King, C. J. (2007). The influence of academic values on scholarly publication and communication practices. *The Journal of Electronic Publishing*, 10(2). [doi:10.3998/3336451.0010.204](https://doi.org/10.3998/3336451.0010.204)
- Lynch, C. A. (2003). Questions about audience, economics, and control of digital libraries. In A. Bishop, N. van House, & B. Battenfield (Eds.), *Digital library use: Social practice in design and evaluation* (pp. 191-216). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Madsen, D. L., & Oleen, J. K. (2013). Staffing and Workflow of a Maturing Institutional Repository. *Journal of Librarianship and Scholarly Communication*, 1(3), 4.
- McDowell, C. S. (2007). Evaluating institutional repository deployment in American academe since early 2005: Repositories by the numbers, part 2. *D-Lib Magazine*, 13(9/10). Retrieved from <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/september07/mcdowell/09mcdowell.html>
- Salo, D. (2008). Innkeeper at the roach motel. *Library Trends*, 57(2), 98-123.
- Xia, J. (2011). An anthropological emic-etic perspective on open access practices. *Journal of Documentation*, 67(1), 75-94. doi:[10.1108/00220411111105461](https://doi.org/10.1108/00220411111105461)