SCHOLARS TRUST
2019-2021 RETENTION MODEL

SCHOLARS TRUST PARTICIPATING LIBRARIES [Total: 52]

**ASERL**

1. Auburn University  
2. Clemson University  
3. College of William & Mary  
4. Duke University (also TRLN)  
5. East Carolina University  
6. Emory University  
7. Florida State University (also FLARE)  
8. George Mason University  
9. Georgia State University  
10. Georgia Tech  
11. Johns Hopkins University  
12. Louisiana State University  
13. Mississippi State University  
14. National Agricultural Library (ASERL Program Affiliate)  
15. North Carolina State University (also TRLN)  
16. Tulane University  
17. University of Alabama  
18. University of Florida (also FLARE)  
19. University of Georgia  

20. University of Kentucky  
21. University of Louisville  
22. University of Memphis  
23. University of Miami (also FLARE)  
24. University of Mississippi  
25. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (also TRLN)  
26. University of North Carolina at Charlotte  
27. University of North Carolina at Greensboro  
28. University of South Carolina  
29. University of Tennessee  
30. University of Virginia  
31. Vanderbilt University  
32. Virginia Commonwealth University  
33. Virginia Tech  
34. Wake Forest University

**FLARE**

1. Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University  
2. Florida Atlantic University  
3. Florida Gulf Coast University  
4. FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
5. Florida Polytechnic University  

6. New College of Florida  
7. UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA  
8. University of North Florida  
9. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA  
10. University of West Florida

**WRLC**

1. American University  
2. Catholic University  
3. Gallaudet University  
4. George Washington University  

5. Georgetown University  
6. Howard University  
7. Marymount University  
8. University of the District of Columbia

---

1 Libraries in upper case are ASERL member institutions
ASERL/CRL COLLECTION ANALYSIS
ASERL contracted with the Center for Research Libraries (CRL) to conduct a program-wide analysis of print journal holdings to identify additional titles that may be good candidates for retention within participating libraries. Among other parameters, the analysis helps to identify rare/unique titles, the most complete runs of titles at participating libraries, and titles that have been committed for retention by other shared print programs as recorded in the Print Archives and Preservation Registry (PAPR).

33 of the 37 ASERL libraries participating in the journal retention program submitted holdings data to CRL for the analysis. Florida State University, the National Agricultural Library, the University of Miami and the University of South Florida opted to not participate.

RETENTION GOAL
The Scholars Trust Governing Council seeks to secure retention commitments for 4,500 additional titles by 2021.

As of May 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shared Print Program</th>
<th># Titles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLARE</td>
<td>31,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRLC</td>
<td>4,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRLN (Duke, UNC-CH and NCSU)</td>
<td>2,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASERL (minus TRLN)</td>
<td>4,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASERL (with TRLN)</td>
<td>7,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: ALL</td>
<td>44,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By 2021</td>
<td>48,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROSEMONTE SHARED PRINT ALLIANCE (RSPA) RECIPROCAL RETENTION AGREEMENT
The RSPA is a collaboration of regional shared print programs interested in coordinating their efforts on a larger scale to ensure the retention of and access to print journal backfiles. Participants include:

- Big Ten Academic Alliance Shared Print Repository (CICBTAA-SPR)
- Eastern Academic Scholars’ Trust (EAST)
- Florida Academic Libraries Repository (FLARE)
- Scholars Trust
- Western Regional Storage Trust (WEST)

All participants in the RSPA agree and affirm that all holdings retained by their respective programs are also retained under the Rosemont Shared Print Alliance and, by definition, subject to the Rosemont Alliance Access Principles.

RSPA holdings are considered when applying Scholars Trust retention guidelines.
ASERL GUIDELINES FOR TITLE NOMINATION

1. Focus on Widely-Held Titles
   The program defines “widely-held titles” as any title with 7 or more holding libraries within Scholars Trust.
   a. For the initial nomination process, the program will focus on the set of titles held by 7 or more libraries within Scholars Trust.

2. Rare/Unique Titles
   The program defines “rare/unique titles” as any title with 3 or fewer holding libraries within Scholars Trust.
   a. Rare/unique titles are not an initial focus for nominations for retention through 2021, however libraries are encouraged to review these titles for consideration.
   Note: In 2020, the RSPA will develop plans for identifying/seeking retention agreements for “Last Copy” titles.

3. Out of Scope materials
   a. Exclusions based on coding in the cataloging record:
      i. Government documents
      ii. monographs
      iii. monographic series
      iv. non-print format
      v. newspapers
   b. Exclusions based on keyword in title:
      i. Reference Work
      ii. Index
      iii. Directory
      iv. Loose-Leaf Reference Work
      v. Almanacs
      vi. Year Books
      vii. Fact Books
      viii. Handbooks
      ix. Guide

4. Level of Redundancy
   The program will seek no more than 3 copies to be retained within Scholars Trust.
   a. Titles with fewer than 3 copies retained within RSPA will be nominated for retention.
   b. Where 3 copies of a title are already retained within RSPA, no additional copies will be nominated for Scholars Trust. A library may still choose to retain the title, particularly when filling gaps in existing commitments.

5. Completeness of holdings
   a. For widely-held titles, a holding must represent a minimum of 75% of the full run of the title to be nominated for retention.
   b. Rare/unique titles are not held to this standard.

6. Secure Electronic Access
   Titles without secure electronic access are given a higher priority when selecting titles for retention.
   Note: This is NOT a recommendation to exclude titles, but rather a recommendation for prioritizing the processing of nominated titles.