Shared Print Archive Initiatives in North America
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De facto = Stored materials covered by explicit archiving agreement

Built = Proactively created by selection and consolidation
CRL Proposal

CRL proposes to work with partner consortia to develop a collaborative framework for archiving print journals, newspapers, and government documents in North America (and beyond)

1) To foster long-term preservation and accessibility of research materials

and

2) To systematically and significantly reduce costs
Planning and Partnership Phase

**Deliverable:** A plan, design, and cost estimates for:

- Service agreement(s)
- Procedures and systems to
  - disclose archived holdings
  - facilitate collection management decisions
- Business model identifying cost factors and cost-sharing principles

**Timeframe:** March through September 2010
## Consortial and CRL Strengths

### Consortia
- Long-term relationships with libraries in their regions or domains
- Operational resource-sharing programs among members
- Groundswell of shared print programs underway (30+ in North America)

### CRL
- Long-term focus on print preservation
- Over 250 libraries in North America and beyond, members of most other consortia
- Network-level service deployment and administration
CRL as Integrating Framework

- Aggregate archive information
- Optimal copies
- Archiving priorities

- Manage compensation among consortia
- Track and report usage

- Promote consensus on archiving terms and services
- Develop interconsortial agreements

- Promote common metadata standards and system for collection analysis
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Prototype Project: Build on Existing Publisher-Based Archives

- CRL
- Orbis-Cascade
- Five Colleges (MA)
- PALCI
- TRLN (individual titles)

JSTOR

ACS

APS & IOP
Consortium members would have access to other consortium archives through CRL agreement.
## Archive Registry:
### Prototype Listing of Print Archive Holdings

[Link to Archive Registry](http://www.crl.edu/archiving-preservation/print-archives/print-archive-projects-and-holdings)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSN</th>
<th>Title and Consortium and Holdings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0002-7294</td>
<td>+ American anthropologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002-7316</td>
<td>- American antiquity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Five Colls MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (1935)-[63]-64 (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORBIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1935-1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002-7359</td>
<td>+ The American art journal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Systems and Procedures

• Use current OCLC capabilities to support print archiving to the extent possible

• Develop a specialized database for more detailed comparison of print archive holdings at the volume/year level
  
  • Benefits from centralization, important added value at network level

• Work with OCLC to develop features to support print archives (e.g. communal LHR or similar)
**Funding Model**

• Divide the central framework costs among participating consortia according to a formula (TBD).
  
  • Program management and administration
  
  • Collection analysis system and processing

• Give financial compensation to archive providers as incentive to participate
  
  • Through CRL?
  
  • Through regional consortium?
“...long-term investments in redundant print collections create a counterweight to innovation ...”

James Michalko, Constance Malpas, and Arnold Arcolio.